UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,105	10/30/2006	Markus Jakobuss	128346.30301	6157	
7590 08/07/2008 Pepper Hamilton One Mellon Center 50th Floor			EXAMINER		
			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
500 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>C</i> ,				3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/568,105	JAKOBUSS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ag</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 37-40 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) 38-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-14,37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange representation is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,6-8,10,11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashley.

Ashley teaches a frame saw system comprising a plurality of space apart, substantially parallel blades, 70, connected to a frame, 25, and at least one support structure, 27, 28, which are tensioning rods, (col. 2, lines 68-72), supplied perpendiculary across the blades and adhering to at least one of the blades in fixed relative position, clamps, which contact the two outermost blades, wherein the clamps comprise a plate, 41a, 42a, bolts, 53a tightened against the plate, (col. 3, liens 41-50), spacers located longitudinally between the blades, wherein the support structure is capable of being removed from the frame saw system as the blades are engaged in cutting.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9,12 ,14 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashley in view of Lin

Ashley teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the blades comprising super abrasive containing segments, and the spacers comprising a polymeric foam. Lin teaches blades comprising super abrasive segments, 26, (col. 9, lines 34-48). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tool of Ashley with super abrasive segments, as taught by Lin, in order to enhance the saw capabilities. It would have been further obvious to provide the tool of Ashley with the spacers being made of a polymeric foam, as an obvious matter of design choice.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 38-40 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3723

The Examiner notes that the limitation of the support structure being removed or pulled away from the frame saw system as the blades are engaged in cutting, is a method step in an apparatus claim. The Ashley reference teaches all the limitations of the apparatus claim. If the frame saw system is not in use then the limitation of removing or pulling away the support structure will not have any patentable weight.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/568,105 Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.L.M. August 4, 2008

/Joseph J. Hail/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723